

# Fitch Upgrades P4 to 'BB'; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings - London - 11 October 2018: Fitch Ratings has upgraded P4 S.p. Z.o.o 's (P4 or Play) Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) to 'BB' from 'BB-'. The Outlook is Stable.

The upgrade reflects P4's strong underlying operating profile including its leading market share - by revenue and subscribers - in Poland's mobile market, a competitive but largely rational market structure, solid cash flow and the stability of the company's balance sheet and financial policy following its initial public offering (IPO) in 2017. While fixed-mobile convergence has developed some commercial traction for fixed incumbent, Orange, Poland is so far proving to be a market where a mobile-only strategy can be successful. This view is underlined by P4's ascendance from market challenger to market leader in a matter of years and the strength of financial results.

Fitch has reset the company's rating leverage thresholds reflecting a shift in the business's operating profile and inherently more disciplined financial structure following the IPO. Our rating case envisages funds from operations (FFO) net leverage of 4.1x at YE18 below the 'BB' threshold of 4.2x. A forecast metric sustained below this level supports the upgrade.

#### **RATING ACTIONS**

ENTITY	RATING	PRIOR
P4 Sp. z o.o.	Natl LT BBB(pol) <b>●</b> Upgrade	BBB-(pol) •
	LT IDR BB • Upgrade	BB- <b>•</b>

#### **KEY RATING DRIVERS**

Market Leadership, Cash Flow: P4's credit profile is underpinned by its consistently strong operating performance, solid cash flow and established financial policy. These factors are fundamental to a reset of the rating sensitivities and the upgrade. In a short number of years the company has become the market leader, from the market's number four and a mobile challenger A maturing mobile market and competition are likely to temper future growth. A clear financial strategy is accompanied by cash flows which should support deleveraging.

Financial Policy, Balance Sheet De-risked: In Fitch's view, the IPO in 2017 has materially de-risked the balance sheet. While under private equity ownership, Fitch believed there was always a risk of a re-leveraging event, either in the form of a dividend recap or as was the case in 2017, by refinancing a holdco PIK within the restricted borrower group. Public ownership and financial policy including target net debt to adjusted EBITDA of around 2.5x, along with management's track record of delivering results, support our expectations of a disciplined approach to the balance sheet.

Rating Sensitivities Reset: Fitch previously set P4's rating sensitivities (upgrade/ downgrade thresholds) taking into account the company's operational status as the market challenger; along with the re-leveraging risk attendant in its private equity ownership and the PIK structure/instrument that

had existed outside the restricted group. With both factors ameliorated following the IPO, Fitch has updated its leverage based sensitivities, bringing them into line with single country operator peers such as Italy's WIND Tre (B+/Stable) and Switzerland's Sunrise (BB+/Stable).

The FFO net leverage threshold at 'BB+' is now set at below 3.7x and at 'BB' below 4.2x. P4 ended 2017 with leverage of 3.3x. Our rating case predicts a metric of 4.1x at YE18, with deleveraging envisaged in subsequent years. These levels are reflected in the upgrade to 'BB'.

Mobile Only Strategy: P4 has successfully pursued a mobile only strategy, despite the market presence of fixed-mobile convergent offers - most notably from fixed incumbent, Orange. Fitch believes the strategy is sustainable given the country's low fixed line broadband penetration, fragmented fixed line competition and the presence of four technologically developed data networks in a competitive mobile market. Improving fibre roll-out is being met by demand for ultrahigh-speed fixed broadband. Mobile voice and data is by far the dominant market bundle.

Market, Company Maturation: Mobile penetration now exceeds 140% in Poland, with active subscriber numbers now contracting a little. Absolute growth has therefore gone from the market with a competitive four player mobile network operator market focused on migrating subscribers to postpaid tariffs, up-selling larger data packages and adding service bundles. Play has a good track record of improving its subscriber mix, which has helped sustain market leading average revenues per user. Its rural strategy should deliver further subscriber additions. Growth across the market will inevitably be harder to find.

Network Expansion: Play has chosen to expand its mobile network into the rural parts of the country and is targeting an additional one to two million subscribers. In Fitch's view, service quality, easily understood tariff structures and network quality are the commercial factors that have driven Play's success this far. In an increasingly mature market Play will need to rely ever more on these qualities if it is to achieve this target by winning customers from the market, given that subscriber market growth is now unlikely. Play is already carrying close to 95% of traffic on its own network (5.5% of 2017 traffic via national roaming) while LTE coverage stood at 93.4% at YE17.

Potential Cash Flow Pressures: Management has successfully maintained low capital intensity rates. Its medium-term target is for capex to sales of around 8%, with an additional PLN500 million budgeted to complete the rural build-out. Fitch assumes a capital intensity rate of 11% for 2018-2020. Network expansion has the scope to pressure capital intensity targets, while at some point 5G spectrum will become available, with past experience proving spectrum is an expensive commodity in Poland. Forecast deleveraging will depend on discipline in both areas.

# **DERIVATION SUMMARY**

Play compares favourably with a peer group that includes smaller, single-country telecom operators such as Telefonica Deutschland (BBB/Positive), Sunrise Communications, WIND Tre and eir (B+/Stable), as well as the leveraged cable sector. Compared with its peer group, Play exhibits solid operating metrics and strong financials - particularly in terms of revenue growth and underlying cash flow strength. The business exhibits a deleveraging capacity that is not present in many of its telecom peers and is more analogous to its cable sector peers in this regard. In Fitch's view, the IPO in 2017 has removed the risk of any further holdco PIK issuance or dividend recaps. The balance sheet has been materially de-risked and rating thresholds brought in line with its closest peers, Sunrise and WIND Tre.

# **KEY ASSUMPTIONS**

Fitch's Key Assumptions Within Our Rating Case for the Issuer:

- Revenue is expected to grow at low single digits in all years from 2018 to 2021
- EBITDA margin is expected to decline in 2018 to 32.0% following pressures from international roaming regulation changes introduced in 2017. Thereafter the margin is expected to increase to

33.5% by 2021

- Capital expenditure as a percentage of sales increasing to 11.5% in 2018 and remaining at that level until 2021 when it is expected to fall to 8.0%
- Dividends paid in 2018 of PLN 652 million. Dividend policy in 2019 to 2021 expected to be up to 50% of free cash flow to equity
- We assume the mandatory amortisations in the senior facilities are to be partially refinanced with additional debt in all years to 2021

#### RATING SENSITIVITIES

Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action

- Continued strong subscriber metrics and an ongoing shift in the subscriber mix to post-paid, with subscriber acquisition cost and post-paid churn close to management's expectations.
- A financial policy that is likely to result in FFO-adjusted net leverage managed at or below 3.7x, a level consistent with net debt/EBITDA of around 3.0x, which would result in an upgrade to 'BB+'.

Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action

- A more intense competitive environment, pressuring revenue and profitability. An expectation that convergent services are deemed by the market to be a more important offering could also create negative rating pressure.
- A financial policy or weakened financial performance leading to FFO-adjusted net leverage consistently above 4.2x, which would result in a downgrade to 'BB-'.

Fixed charge cover consistently below 3.3x, would result in a downgrade.

Post dividend free cash flow margin consistently below mid-single digits

# LIQUIDITY AND DEBT STRUCTURE

Reasonable Liquidity: We expect the company to generate negative free cash flow in 2018 following a decline in the EBITDA margin and the payment of a PLN652 million dividend in the first half of the year. Thereafter we expect free cash flow margins to return to positive mid-single digits following EBTIDA margin gains and management's 2Q18 dividend policy revision to up to 50% of free cash flow to equity from 65% to 75%.

The company signed a senior facilities agreement in March 2017, which included a mandatorily amortising facility of PLN 2.4 billion. The repayments are structured sothat 16% (PLN391 million) of the principal is repaid in 2018 and 24% (PLN586 million) per year thereafter to March 2022. Our conservative forecasts assume the company will be able to service these payments through a mixture of existing liquidity, by drawing down on the PLN400 million revolving credit facilities and through additional borrowing.

# **SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT ADJUSTMENTS**

Fitch has adjusted the December 2017 financial statements to move a total of PLN515 million into non-recurring cash flows, which are excluded from FFO. The PLN515 million consists of:

- PLN170 million non-recurring interest payments following the refinancing in March 2017
- PLN283 million non-recurring employee incentive scheme settlements
- PLN62 million IPO related costs

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

#### FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

Primary Rating Analyst
Tajesh Tailor
Senior Director
+44 20 3530 1726
Fitch Ratings
30 North Colonnade, Canary Wharf
London E14 5GN

Secondary Rating Analyst Tom Steabler Associate Director +44 20 3530 1661

Committee Chairperson Damien Chew Senior Director +44 20 3530 1424

#### **MEDIA CONTACTS**

Adrian Simpson London +44 20 3530 1010 adrian.simpson@fitchratings.com

# **Applicable Criteria**

Corporate Rating Criteria (pub. 23 Mar 2018) (/site/re/10023785) Sector Navigators (pub. 23 Mar 2018) (/site/re/10023790)

#### **Additional Disclosures**

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form (/site/dodd-frank-disclosure/10048055) Solicitation Status Endorsement Policy (/site/regulatory)

#### **DISCLAIMER**

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS (HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM

(https://www.fitchratings.com/site/home). PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT

HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY

(https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EUREGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

# **COPYRIGHT**

Copyright © 2018 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in

accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001 Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit

rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit

ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory (https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory)), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

#### **SOLICITATION STATUS**

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

# Fitch Updates Terms of Use & Privacy Policy

We have updated our Terms of Use and Privacy Policies which cover all of Fitch Group's websites. Learn more (https://www.thefitchgroup.com/site/policies).

# **Endorsement Policy**

Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the EU Regulatory Disclosures (https://www.fitchratings.com/regulatory) page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.